PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

W1.2073PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
sternational application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.06.2003				
PCT/EP2004/050178	20.02.2004					
pplicant	C) or national classification and IPC KTIENGESELLSCHAFT					
This report is the internation	onal preliminary examination report, established by the	his International Preliminary Examining Authority				
under Article 35 and transm	nitted to the applicant according to Article 30.					
	nied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	licant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis to this report and a sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see						
related thereto, in Section 802 of the	n computer readable form only, as indicated in the state Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indica	ations relating to the following items:					
Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Priority					
	Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, i	inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, i					
Box No. III Box No. IV	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, i					
Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, i Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to					
Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, in Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, i Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited					
Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, in Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, i Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Date of completion	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; n of this report				

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050178

Box	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the interacted under this item.	rnational application in the language in v	which it was filed, unless otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule	e 12.4)				
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55		hadambish bans ban Cantab Jacob			
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): the international application as originally filed/furnished						
	\boxtimes	the description:					
		pages <u>1-17</u>		as originally filed/furnished			
			received by this Authority on				
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the claims:					
		nos. 1-8, 11-30		as originally filed/furnished			
		nos.*	as amended (together	r with any statement) under Article 19			
		nos.* 9, 10	-	29.09.2004 with letter of 21.09.2004			
		nos.*					
	\boxtimes	the drawings:					
		sheets 1/5, 3/5-5/5		as originally filed/furnished			
			received by this Authority on	29.09.2004 with letter of 21.09.2004			
			received by this Authority on				
Ì							
3.	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.						
.د	لب	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of the description, pages					
			-				
	the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):						
		the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify)					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the	amendments annexed to this report and	i listed below had not been made, since			
"	لــا	they have been considered to go beyond the disclosu	are as filed, as indicated in the Supplement	mal Box (Rule /0.2(c)).			
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
1		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify					
*	* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050178

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Art citations and explanations sup		t under Art nations sup	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; supporting such statement	
1. Stateme	nt			
Nov	elty (N)	Claims	1-30	YES
		Claims		NO
Inve	ntive step (IS)	Claims	1-30	YES
l		Claims		NO
Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-30	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: DE 199 27 555 A (KOENIG & BAUER AG), 21 December 2000 (2000-12-21)

1. Independent claim 1

1.1 Document D1, which is considered to be the closest prior art, discloses the following (the references in parentheses are to D1) in the words of claim 1:

A printing unit in a rotary printing press having a first cylinder (1) and a second cylinder with a compressible surface (blanket cylinder 2), with bearer rings that co-operate in an impression "ON" position (figure 2), a radius (rs1) of the bearer ring associated with the first cylinder (1) being greater than a radius (rs2) of the bearer ring associated with the second cylinder (2) (column 1, lines 28 to 29).

1.2 The problem addressed by the present invention can thus be seen as that of how to increase the service

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050178

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

life of the drives (for example, by reducing the overload on the motors) and make the conveyance behaviour more reliable.

1.3 There is nothing in the prior art that might suggest to a person skilled in the art the proposed solution, according to which the effective radius of the barrel portion of the first cylinder is greater than the radius of its bearer ring. Claim 1 therefore appears to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

2. Independent claims 2 and 9

The subject matter of claims 2 and 9 is the same as that of claim 1, and therefore the comments made above also apply. Claims 2 and 9 appear to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

3. Dependent claims 3-8 and 10-30

Since independent claims 1, 2 and 9 appear to be novel and inventive, dependent claims 3 to 8 and 10 to 30 (which refer back to independent claims 1, 2 and 9) are clearly also inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).